Trends in Linear Power Amplifiers for Communications Systems

Dr. Richard G. Ranson Filtronic plc Shipley, W.Yorks. UK



IEE TARGET Workshop

<u>Outline</u>

- Perspective Key aspects of 2, 2½ and 3G systems
 - Modulation formats
 - GSM, EDGE to 3G
 - Network evolution
 - Circuit switched, packet switched to IP
- Trends
 - More power, higher efficiency and lower cost
 - Pick any 3
 - Software defined systems
 - Ubiquitous communications produces rich variety of services
- Linearity More to it than meets the eye
 - Spectral spreading is just the start
 - All the trends have linearity at the core
- Summary



GSM Modulation

- BPSK modulation
- 1 bit per symbol
- Interleaved symbol sets
- Gaussian filtered
- Constant envelope
- 0 dB pk / average



Data 0111010011101

- 8 voice channels, time multiplexed on each RF carrier
- 200 kHz per RF channel
- 7:1 channel re-use





W-CDMA Modulation

- QPSK Modulation
- 2 bits per symbol
- Symbols are "coded"
 - multiplied by orthogonal codes
 - Added together
- Root raise cosine filtered
- >10dB pk/Average



Data 00 10 01 11 01 00 10 11

- Many signal channels per 3.84 MHz carrier
 - e.g. 64 voice signals typical
- 1:1 frequency re-use



W-CDMA Coding

- Each QPSK signal is spread using a scrambling code
 - Producing another, different QPSK signal
- Then many spread signals are added together
- The resulting signal has high peak to average ratio
 - Peaks are rare because they only occur when all the scrambled signals coincide with the same symbol





- Signal spread to 3.84 MHz BW
- Noise like spectrum
- Peaks up to 10dB more than the average
- CCDF shows the statistical distribution



Key Aspects of 2, 21/2 and 3G

- GSM Transmitter friendly
 - Constant envelope signal 0dB pk.Av
 - Fixed, low data rate
- EDGE A clever extension of GSM
 - 6dB pk/Av envelope
 - 3 times data rate in the same bandwidth
- W-CDMA Transmitter unfriendly
 - 12dB pk/Av envelope, typically clipped to 8.5dB
 - Variable rate transmission via tree structured codes
 - Wide bandwidth with 1:1 frequency re-use
- Key change is a "data centric" view of signals



W-CDMA Side Effects

- Rapid mobile power control
 - BTS to Mobile channel characterisation
- Soft and softer handover
 - Code sharing and BTS Collaboration
- Alamouti coding
 - Transmit diversity (2x2 MIMO via Tx upgrade)
- Dynamic allocation of resources to mobiles
 - Diversity enhancements
 - Exploitation of clear downlink channels
 - Rapid data rate adjustments





HSDPA

- Increase peak downlink data rates to 14Mbps
- Reduce network delays
- Increase capacity 2 to 3 times

WiFi, WiMAX and 4G

- OFDM, MIMO, etc etc
- Alphabet soup, more and more of the same



Planned 3G Extensions

Data is Data

- Different modulation to suit the available power/channel characteristics
- More and more bits per symbol





Filtronic

Extension What Extension?

- This might seem complicated
 - But these functions are in the digital baseband
 - These are just FPGA changes
 - On a single dedicated physical channel DPCH
 - And can be done in real time
- Better channels get faster data rates
- Spare Tx power used to increase Eb/No



<u>HSDPA</u>

Unused Tx power is unused capacity

 Tight power control of DPCHs gives available power to supply high speed data access via HSDPA



Evolution Summary

Generation		Data Rate	
2	Voice	BPSK	Fixed
21/2	Voice+Data	8PSK	Fixed
3	Voice+Data	QPSK	Variable
Beyond	IP/Packet	N-QAM	Arbitrary

- 3G forms the basis for a fundamental shift in the Communications Channel utilisation
- All digital \rightarrow IP/Packet Data
- Multiple simultaneous modulation schemes
 - All just handled by software defined radio standards
- Arbitrary data rates, maximising system capacity and maximising revenues



Continuing Trends

Increased Power

- Initial roll-out often has just enough to provide the initial service
- Power proportional to downlink capacity
- Tx diversity as a capacity enhancement
- Increased Efficiency
 - BST power is most significant in whole network
 - PA power is most significant in the BTS
- Relentless pressure on Cost
 - Cost per av. Watt of RF <\$20 and decreasing



Power

- There is always a regulatory limit
- But many systems are not yet at that limit
 - Substantial cable losses in "hut" configuration
 - Significant drive towards tower mounted PAs
 - Efficiency and reliability concerns
- Transmit diversity and Alamouti coding
 - Handset standard has already been upgraded
 - All new handsets can handle this signal
 - Additional Tx required on the existing diplexer antenna used for Rx diversity
 - A whole new set of power amplifiers



Efficiency

- Radio Unit efficiency typically 10%
 - This is PSU, TRX circuitry and the PA
 - DC power in to RF power out
- DPD can boost this to 15%
- Doherty amplifier with DPD up to 20%
 - Considerable energy saving
 - Savings on network running cost
 - Saving space; power upgrades in the same cabinet
 - CO₂ emissions reductions (600g / kWh)
 - Estimated average drain efficiency 40%





- For a 20W PA with modest output losses
 - Actually need 25.2 W of RF from the amplifier
 - At 40% average drain efficiency, that's 63W of DC
- And ~2.5 Watts drive
 - At good linearity (25%) another 10W
- Also need 2 more stages of gain
 - Another 2W or so
- Then some form of linearization (12W)



PA Performance for 2, 2 1/2 and 3G



Linearity and Time Invariance

lf	$x(t) \Longrightarrow X(t)$		
and	$y(t) \Longrightarrow Y(t)$		
then	$a \cdot x(t) + b \cdot y(t) \Longrightarrow a \cdot X(t) + b \cdot Y(t)$		
And if	$x(t) \Longrightarrow X(t-\tau)$		

- then $a \cdot x(t-\tau) + b \cdot y(t) \Rightarrow a \cdot X(t-\tau) + b \cdot Y(t)$
- Linearisation has to encompass non-linearity and time variance of the system
- To do that it must encompass whole time scale
- Note how HSDPA involves average power and/or coding standard changes in the mS time frame.



DPD Linearisation

Most DPD linearisation techniques include some memory effects

- Device memory effects can usually be accommodated within the latency of the system
- Longer effects cannot be linearised
- Look-up tables can only compensate
- Static Linearity
 - Uniform average power with
 - Instantaneous changes at the modulation rate and
 - A static modulation standard
- Dynamic Linearity
 - Instantaneous changes at the modulation rate
 - Encompassing changes in average power and
 - Changes in modulation standard



Static and Dynamic Linearisation

ent 12:02:56 Nov 21, 2085

Ref Level Offset

54.50 dB

#Atten 6 dB

DPD Lineariser performance with power back-off

Ref 43.5 dBm

*Avg

Log

10

dB/

- Static
 - Most common
 - Same average power in the test signal
- Dynamic
 - Average power changes
 - Simple On/Off tests
 - Here a full and 6dB back-off test
 - Or pulsed power tests



▲ Mkr1 -9.9 MHz

-57.81 dB



23 May 2006

Blue shows

reduced power,

increased IM

Static and Dynamic Lineariastion

- Almost all published results show static linearisation
 - Classic before/after DPD results are for test signals with uniform average power
- Real signals have variable average power
 - Variability is more rapid than DPD computation rate
- A true lineariser would have to encompass the time of the average power variations
 - Far too long for proper system operation
- Dynamic tests quantify the practical DPD solutions



<u>Summary</u>

Two key factors in system architecture

- Digital modulation enables alternative modulation schemes
- IP, packet based protocols enable dynamic allocation of user data rates
- Driving factors
 - More bits/symbol increases capacity
 - Dynamic allocation increases resource utilisation
- Linearity tighter and tighter
 - Higher QAM, less phase and amplitude margins
 - Dynamic power control exercises device over a wide variety of time frames

